2001

AUG 3 0 2005



SILVERBROOK RESEARCH Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street Balmain NSW 2041 Australia PO Box 207 Balmain NSW 2041 Australia Phone: +61 2 9818 6633 Fax: + 61 2 9818 6711 Email: info@silverbrookresearch.com ACN 066 573 671

FACSIMILE MESSAGE

Date

31 August, 2005

To:

Group Receptionist Art Unit 3628

Fax No.:

0011 1 571 273 8300

Subject:

United States Patent Application No. 09/517,539

Inventors/Assignors: Simon Robert Walmsley and Paul Lapstun

Assignee: SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD

Our Ref:

AUTH01US

Total Number of Pages (including this)

7

Attached is a response to the Office Action of July 05, 2005, of Examiner NGA B Nguyen.

Regards

PD I Ruh

Leonie News

Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

This facsimile contains information that is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you have received this facsimile in error, or if the transmission is not complete, please notify us immediately by telephone or facsimile.

PAGE 1/5 * RCVD AT 8/30/2005 11:03:34 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:95557762 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-5/

Jucomystely printed sul

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial fling) Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Application Nurrabor Application Nurrabor Filing Date First Named Inventor Art Unit Examiner Name Attorney Docket Number	Approved for use through 97/31/2006 ONE 0061-0031 might and Temporary Office; U.S. DEPARTMOS, ONE 0061-0031 might and Temporary Office; U.S. DEPARTMOS, ONE 0061-0031 might and Temporary Office; U.S. DEPARTMOS, ONE 0061 might and Temporary Office; U.S. DEPARTMOS, ONE 0061 might and Temporary Office; U.S. DEPARTMOS, ONE 0061 Might and Temporary Office; U.S. DEPARTMOS, U.S. DEPARTMOS, OFFICe; U.S. DEPARTMOS, OFFIC				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavita/declaration(e) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(e) Reply to Missing Paris		Ja.silverbrook@eliverbrookm ne: 61-2-9818-6633 e: 61-2-9555 7762	Appeal of Appe Appeal (Appeal (Appeal Appeal		Appeal of App Appeal Appeal Appeal Proprie Status Other i	Enclosure(s) (please identify	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Signature Printed name Simon Robert Walmeley and Peul Lepstun							
Date: DB/3	/31/05 Reg. No.						
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facslimite transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with stufficient statege as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandris, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature J. J							
Typed or printed name		almaley ar	Impley and Paul Lapetun			Date	08/31/05

by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or rote is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14.

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Serial Number:

09/517,539

Application. Filed:

March 02, 2000

Applicant:

Simon Robert Walmsley and Paul Lapstun

Application Title:

Validation Protocol and System

Examiner/GAU:

NGA B Nguyen

Dated August 31, 2005

At:

Balmain, NSW

Docket No.

AUTHOIUS

REPLY

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, District of Columbia 20231

The Office Action of July 05, 2005, has been carefully considered. It is respectfully submitted that the issues raised are traversed, being hereinafter addressed with reference to the relevant headings appearing in the Detailed Action section of the Office Action.

Claim Rejections — 35 USC § 103
At page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 11 as being unpatentable over Shigenaga (US Patent No. 4,710,613) in view of Lee (US Patent No. 5,923,759.

Reconsideration and following comments. consideration and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested in light of the

The Applicant respectfully submits that a skilled person in the art would not be motivated to combine Shigenaga with Lee as the cited documents teach very opposing authentication systems. Additionally, as required under MPEP at 2143, "...there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings."

- discloses:
 Card terminal generating a random number and encrypts twice;
 Card terminal sending encrypted data to card IC;
 Card IC decrypting the encrypted data and determines the random number;
 Card IC sending determined random number back to card terminal; and,
 Card terminal comparing both the time of processing, and the original and received random numbers to determine authenticity.

RCVD AT 2/30/2005 11:03:34 PM (Eastern Daylight Time) = SVR:USPTO-CFXRP-6/24 = DNIS:2738300 = CSID:83657762 = DURATION (mm-es):03-62

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

RECEIVED

Serial Number:

09/517,539

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Application. Filed:

March 02, 2000

AUG 3 0 2005

Applicant:

Simon Robert Walmsley and Paul Lapstun

Application Title:

Validation Protocol and System

Examiner/GAU:

NGA B Nguyen

Dated

August 31, 2005

At:

Balmain, NSW

Docket No.

AUTH01US

REPLY

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, District of Columbia 20231

Dear Sir:

The Office Action of July 05, 2005, has been carefully considered. It is respectfully submitted that the issues raised are traversed, being hereinafter addressed with reference to the relevant headings appearing in the Detailed Action section of the Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

At page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 11 as being unpatentable over Shigenaga (US Patent No. 4,710,613) in view of Lee (US Patent No. 5,923,759.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested in light of the following comments.

The Applicant respectfully submits that a skilled person in the art would not be motivated to combine Shigenaga with Lee as the cited documents teach very opposing authentication systems. Additionally, as required under MPEP at 2143, "...there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings."

Shigenaga discloses:

- Card terminal generating a random number and encrypts twice;
- Card terminal sending encrypted data to card IC;
- Card IC decrypting the encrypted data and determines the random number;
- · Card IC sending determined random number back to card terminal; and,
- Card terminal comparing both the time of processing, and the original and received random numbers to determine authenticity.